MA4JB Commutative algebra II Example sheet 2 (first draft, to continue) ==== (Should have gone earlier) Exercises about division with remainder Let a,b be coprime integers. You know the result that there exist x,y with a*x + b*y = 1. Find the smallest range for the values of x,y as a function of a,b. [Hint: Consider the possible congruences mod a*b for a*x + b*yfor x in [0..b-1] and y in [0..a-1]. Deduce the number of different values you hit.] Where does the argument use division with remainder? Let f,g in k[x] let coprime polynomials of degree d = deg f and e = deg g. Prove that the polynomials a*f + b*g with a of degree <= e-1 and b of degree <= d-1are linearly independent. Deduce that they base the vector space $k[x] \{ <= d+e-1 \}.$ Where does that argument use division with remainder? ==== Exercises on p-adic completion Marco's notes state $ZZ_p = ZZ[[T]]/(T-p)$. Determine if this is true. ==== Calculation in p-adic integers The p-adic integers ZZ_p is defined as $\lim_{<-} ZZ/p^n$. For p = 5, what is $h = 3 + 2*p + 2*p^2 + ... 2*p^n$? Hint. First calculate the infinite sum using the geometric progression formula. (Formal power series means ignore convergence.) Multiply h by the denominator this suggests to get result. Think about how you prove it. Show that for p = 5, $t = 2 + 3*p + p^2 + 3*p^3 + ...$ (with coefficients [2,1] recurring) equals 1/3 in ZZ p. Show that the terms in the p-adic expansion of 1/3 recur with period 1 if $p == 1 \mod 3$ and with period 2 if $p == 2 \mod 3$. Show that the p-adic expansion of 1/a in ZZ_p has recurrent terms with period r where $p^r == 1 \mod a$. Compare with the familiar result for the expansion of 1/a as a decimal.

Show that a p-adic number is rational if and only if its expansion is eventually recurrent. ==== Exercise on direct proof of Nakayama's lemma. A local ring, M a finite module. Then m*M = M implies M = 0. You can do this by applying the determinant trick to get a*M = 0 for some a == 1 mod m, and say that implies a is invertible. Or more simply choose a finite generating set {m1..n} and use m*M = M to deduce that mn is in the submodule generated by $\{m1, \dots, m, \{n-1\}\}$. (Is there a statement J*M = M => M that works that with m replaced by Jacobson radical = intersection of all maximal ideals?) \rightarrow [Ma] Theorem 8.4 For an A-module M and ideal I, consider the quotient M \rightarrow M/IM and elements ei in M -> eibar in Mbar If eibar generate Mbar, is it true that ei generate M? Add conditions that A is I-adic complete and M is I-adically separated. ==== Exercises on chain conditions. -> If A is a Noetherian ring, and S in A a multiplicative set, prove that S^-1A is again Noetherian. -> Let A be a ring intermediate ring between ZZ and QQ. Is A Noetherian? If possible, write down a counterexample. -> Prove A Noetherian implies the formal power series ring A[[x]] is again Noetherian. -> Matsumura p.18 has a number of clever corollaries to the general effect that A has an effective representation on a Noetherian module M implies A is Noetherian. -> u: M -> M a homomorphism of A-modules and consider the iteration uⁿ (that is, u composed with itself n times). Prove that {ker uⁿ} is an increasing chain of A-submodules and $\{Mn = im u^n(M) \text{ subset } M\}$ a decreasing chain. Now suppose M is Noetherian. Prove that both chains terminate, and give a submodule M0 in M for which the restriction u| M0 : M0 -> M0 is an isomorphism. Does the same argument work if we assume instead that M is Artinian?

-> Let N1, N2 be submodules of an A-module M, prove that M/N1 and

M/N2 are Noetherian then so is M/(N1 intersect N2). Same for Artinian. Does M/(N1 intersect N2) Noetherian imply anything about M/N1 or M/N2?

-> Exc using Zariski topology of Spec A If A is a Noetherian ring then the topology of Spec A is Noetherian (has the d.c.c. for closed sets, as for affine algebraic sets in [UAG]). Deduce that Spec A is covered by finitely many maximal closed sets (irreducible components), and hence that a Noetherian ring has only finitely many minimal prime ideals.

====

Noetherian versus Artinian

Prove that a Noetherian ring with Krull dim A = 0 is Artinian. [Step 1. 0-dim implies the intersection J of all maximal ideals equals the nilradical. Step 2. Use Noetherian to prove $J^N = 0$ for some N. Step 3. Construct a filtration of A by ideals so that each I_n/I_{n-1} is isomorphic to the residue class field $k(m_i) = A/m_i.$]